Esh C of E (Aided) Primary School Pupil Premium Strategy Statement 2020-21

1. Summary information												
School	Esh Church	sh Church of England (Aided) Primary School										
Academic Year	2020-21	Total PP budget	£24415	Date of most recent PP Review	April 2020							
Total number of pupils	97	Number of pupils eligible for PP 16 Date for next internal review of this strategy April										

Phonics Data

	Year 1 Phonics Test											
	2017				2018			2019				
	Cohort	School	National	Difference	Cohort	School	National	Difference	Cohort	School	National	Difference
Disadvantaged	1	100%	70%	+30%	0	0%	70%	-	1	100%		
Other	12	83%	83%	0%	10	90%	84%	+6%	14	86%		
Gap		+17%	-13%	%		-	-14%			+14%		

Key Stage 1 Data

		% achieved	Expected		% achieved Greater Depth						
KS1 Reading	2017										
	Cohort	School	National	Difference	Cohort	School	National	Difference			
Disadvantaged	1	0%	63%	-63%	1	0%	13%	-13%			
Other	14	86%	79%	+13%	14	36%	27%	+9%			
Gap		-86%	-16%			-36%	-14%				

		% achieved	Expected		% achieved Greater Depth								
KS1 Reading		2018											
	Cohort	School	National	Difference	Cohort	School	National	Difference					
Disadvantaged	1	0%	60%	-60%	1	0%	29%	-29%					
Other	12	83%	78%	+5%	12	25%	29%	-4%					

Gap		-83%	-18%			-25%	=						
		% achieved	Expected			% achieved (Greater Depth						
KS1 Reading		2019											
	Cohort	School	National	Difference	Cohort	School	National	Difference					
Disadvantaged	3	67%	62%	+5%	3	0%	14%	-14%					
Other	12	83%	78%	+5%	12	33%	28%	+5%					
Gap		-16%	-16%			-33%	-14%						

		% achieved	Expected		% achieved Greater Depth						
KS1 Writing	2017										
	Cohort	School	National	Difference	Cohort	School	National	Difference			
Disadvantaged	1	0%	53%	-53%	1	0%	7%	-7%			
Other	14	79%	73%	+4%	14	14%	15%	-1%			
Gap		-79%	-20%			-14%	-8%				

		% achieved	Expected		% achieved Greater Depth								
KS1 Writing		2018											
	Cohort	School	School	National	Difference								
Disadvantaged	1	0%	53%	-53%	1	%	18%	+15%					
Other	12	83%	73%	+10%	12	25%	18%	+7%					
Gap		-83%	-20%			+8%	II						

		% achieved	Expected		% achieved Greater Depth								
KS1 Writing		2019											
	Cohort School National Difference Cohort School National Differe												
Disadvantaged	3	67%	55%	+12%	3	0%	7%	-7%					
Other	12	75%	73%	+2%	12	0%	17%	-17%					
Gap		-8%	-18%										

		% achieved	Expected		% achieved Greater Depth								
KS1 Maths		2017											
	Cohort School National Difference Cohort School National												
Disadvantaged	1	0%	60%	-60%	1	0%	10%	-10%					
Other	14	86%	77%	+9%	14	29%	20%	+9%					
Gap		-86%	-17%			-29%	-10%						

		% achieved	Expected		% achieved Greater Depth					
KS1 Maths										
	Cohort	School	National	Difference	Cohort	School	National	Difference		
Disadvantaged	1	0%	61%	-61%	1	0%	25%	-25%		
Other	12	83%	79%	+4%	12	33%	25%	+8%		
Gap		-83%	-18%			-33%	=			

		% achieved	Expected		% achieved Greater Depth								
KS1 Maths		2019											
	Cohort	School	National	Difference	Cohort	School	National	Difference					
Disadvantaged	3	33%	63%	-30%	3	0%	12%	-12%					
Other	12	83%	79%	+4%	12	33%	24%	+9%					
Gap													

Key Stage 2 Data

Progress				% achieved Expected			% achieved Greater Depth			Average Score			
KS2 Reading							2017						
	Cohort	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference
Disadvantaged	0	-	-0.7	-	-	53%	-	-	10%	-	-	101	
Other	14	7.6	0.3	+7.3	93%	71%	+22%	64%	23%	+41%	111.6	105	+6.6
Gap		-	-1.0		-	-18%		1	-13%		-	-4	

			Progress		% ac	hieved Exp	pected	% achi	ieved Grea	ter Depth		Average So	core
KS2 Reading		•					2018				•		
	Cohort	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference
Disadvantaged	0	-	-0.8	-	-	60%	-	-	16%	-	-	106.1	-
Other	13	3.4	0.2	+3.2	92	78%	+14%	46%	30%	+16%	107.2	106.1	+1.1
Gap		-	-1.0		-	-18%		-	-14%		-	=	

			Progress		% ac	hieved Exp	ected	% achi	eved Grea	ter Depth	,	Average So	core
KS2 Reading							2019						
	Cohort	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference
Disadvantaged	2	-4.5	-0.6	-3.9	50%	62%	-12%	0%	17%	-17%	97	102	-5
Other	8	3.4	0.3	+3.1	100%	78%	+22%	38%	31%	+7%	108.4	105	+3.4
Gap		-7.9	-0.9		-50%	+16%		-38%	+14%		-11.4	-3	

			Progress		% ac	hieved Exp	pected	% achi	ieved Grea	ter Depth	,	Average So	core
KS2 Writing							2017						
	Cohort	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference
Disadvantaged	0	-	-0.3	-	-	64%	-	-	8%	-			
Other	14	2.4	0.2	+2.2	86%	78%	+8%	29%	18%	+11%			
Gap		-	-0.5		-	-14%		-	-10%				

			Progress	3	% ac	hieved Exp	pected	% achi	ieved Grea	ter Depth	1	Average So	core
KS2 Writing		•					2018				•		
	Cohort	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference
Disadvantaged	0	-	-0.7		-	63%	-	-	10%	-10%			
Other	13	2.9	0.1	+2.8	85%	81%	-1%	31%	22%	+9%			
Gap			-0.8		-	-18%		-	-12%				

			Progress	3	% ac	hieved Exp	pected	% achi	ieved Grea	ter Depth	ı	Average So	core
KS2 Writing		•					2019						
	Cohort	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference
Disadvantaged	2	3.3	-0.5	+3.8	100%	68%	+32%	0%	11%	-11%			
Other	8	0.7	0.3	+0.4	75%	83%	-8%	38%	24%	+14%			
Gap		+2.6	-0.8		+25%	-15%		-38%	+13%				

			Progress		% ac	hieved Exp	pected	% achi	ieved Grea	ter Depth	,	Average So	core
KS2 Maths							2017						
	Cohort	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference
Disadvantaged	0	-	-0.6	-	-	63%	-	-	13%	-	-	102	-
Other	14	6.9	0.3	+6.3	93%	80%	+13%	64%	27%	+37%	110.9	105	+5.9
Gap			-0.9	-	-	-17%		-	-14%			-3	

			Progress		% ac	hieved Exp	pected	% achi	ieved Grea	ter Depth	,	Average So	core
KS2 Maths							2018						
	Cohort	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference
Disadvantaged	0	-	-0.8	-	-	59%	-	-	28%	-	-	105.4	-
Other	13	4.0	0.2	+3.8	85%	78%	+7%	46%	28%	+18%	107.3	105.4	+1.9
Gap		-	-1.0		-	-19%		-	=		-	=	

			Progress		% ac	hieved Exp	pected	% achi	eved Grea	ter Depth		Average So	ore
KS2 Maths		•					2019						
	Cohort	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference
Disadvantaged	2	-0.9	-0.7	-0.2	50%	68%	-18%	0%	16%	-16%	101.5	103	-1.5
Other	8	1.5	0.3	+1.2	100%	83%	+17%	25%	31%	-6%	107	106	+1
Gap		-2.4	-1		-50%	+15%		-25%	-15%		-5.5	-3	

			Progress		% ac	hieved Exp	pected	% achi	ieved Grea	ter Depth	ı	Average So	core
KS2 GPS		•					2017						
	Cohort	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference
Disadvantaged	0				-	61%	-	-	14%	-	-	104	-
Other	14				100%	77%	+23%	64%	26%	+38%	112.6	107	+5.6
Gap					1	-16%		-	-12%		-	-3	

			Progress		% ac	hieved Exp	ected	% achi	eved Grea	ter Depth	,	Average So	core
KS2 GPS							2018						
	Cohort	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference
Disadvantaged	0				-	63%	-	-	21%	-	-	104	-
Other	13				92%	80%	+12%	62%	37%	+25%	110.8	108	+2.8
Gap					-	-17%		-	-16%		-	-4	

			Progress	3	% ac	hieved Exp	ected	% achi	eved Grea	ter Depth	,	Average So	core
KS2 GPS							2019						
	Cohort	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference	School	National	Difference
Disadvantaged	2				100%	68%	+32%	0%	25%	-25%	102.5	104	-1.5
Other	8				100%	83%	+17%	38%	40%	-2%	107.8	107	+0.8
Gap						-15%	-15%	-38%	-15%		-5.3	-3	

	2. Barriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)											
Acade	mic barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills	s)										
A.	Some disadvantaged children did not achieve expected standard in reading in	KS1										
B.	Some disadvantaged children did not achieve expected standard in maths in h	KS1										
C.	Some disadvantaged children did not achieve expected standard in writing in	KS1										
Additio	ional barriers (including issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)											
D.	Some Pupil Premium pupils have limited life experiences and lack opportunities to attend activities or visits outside school											
E.	Social and emotional barriers to learning impacting on concentration, confiden	ice and self-esteem.										
3. I	ntended outcomes (specific outcomes and how they will be measured)	Success criteria										
A.	Decrease the gap between pupil premium and non-pupil premium children in reading across the school.	Pupils eligible for disadvantaged children will receive bespoke interventions, planned by the class teacher to narrow the gaps in learning. This will narrow the gap between disadvantaged children and 'others' to ensure they make progress to achieve above end of year expectations by the end of the year.										
B.	Decrease the gap between pupil premium and non-pupil premium children in writing across the school. Pupils eligible for disadvantaged children will receive bespoke interventions, planned by the class teacher to narrow the gaps in learning. This will narrow the gap between disadvantaged children and 'others' to ensure they make progress to achieve above end of year expectations by the end of the year.											

C.	Decrease the gap between pupil premium and non-pupil premium children in maths across the school.	Pupils eligible for disadvantaged children will receive bespoke interventions, planned by the class teacher to narrow the gaps in learning. This will narrow the gap between disadvantaged children and 'others' to ensure they make progress to achieve above end of year expectations by the end of the year.
D.	Provide additional opportunities to enrich the curriculum and enhance pupil experiences	Knowledge and understanding of the world through visiting a different location. Improve resilience by staying away from home and completing the physical and emotional challenges presented by the activities. Supports development of key literacy skills – speaking and listening and writing through follow up work. Range of new experiences: developing knowledge and understanding of the world.
E.	Provide additional opportunities and access to social, emotional and well being support for pupils, including Relax Kids.	Happy, confident pupils who are able to overcome any difficulties present or arising in their lives. This will narrow the gap between emotional support available for disadvantaged children and 'others' to ensure equal opportunity for all.

4. Review of expend	4. Review of expenditure							
Previous Academic Ye	ear	2018 – 2019	2018 – 2019					
i. Quality of teachin	i. Quality of teaching for all							
Intended outcome	Action	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate).	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost				
A. Improve % of disadvantaged children achieving expected standard in reading in KS1 B. Improve % of disadvantaged children achieving expected standard in writing in KS1	The use of an HLTA will allow support for staff in raising standards in teaching and learning and provide small group tuition.	67% of PP children achieved expected standard in reading in KS1. Having HLTA working in class allowed the group to be split in different ways. Along with targeted group support, Y2 and Y3 could be taught separately allowing all children to benefit from use of additional adult. 67% of PP children achieved expected standard in writing in KS1. HLTA and class teacher provided focussed interventions including handwriting to help support writing stamina.	HLTA will continue working in Class 2 to support NQT	£12,340				

C. Improve % of	We will subsidise	The use of teaching assistants across KS1 allows for targeted	More training for support staff in maths	£5000
disadvantaged	the cost of teaching	intervention at an early age before gaps begin to widen.	mastery principles would enable them to	
children achieving	assistants working		further support the work of class teachers.	
expected standard in	in KS1. This will			
maths in KS1	allow us to raise			
	attainment in maths			
	at KS1 and to			
	increase %			
	achieving GD			
	reducing the gap			
	between 'other'			
	children in the			
	school and 'other'			
	disadvantaged			
	children nationally.			

Intended outcome	Action	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate).	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
A. Improve % of disadvantaged children achieving Expected in maths at KS2. B. Improve % of disadvantaged children achieving greater depth in reading, writing and GPS	Teachers will plan interventions for TA's to support the progress of the children and narrow the gap. This will ensure all children make progress and PP children reach ARE. The use of an additional teacher will allow the SLT to support staff in raising standards in teaching and learning and provide small group tuition. To raise attainment at in maths, reading and writing to at least in	2 disadvantaged children in Year 6 2019. 1 achieved expected standard in all subjects. Both achieved expected standard in GPS. Additional teacher in mixed age Year 4/5 class was used to good effect. The class could be split alongside smaller target group work for reading. Internal data shows a greater number of children in Year 5 are now on track to achieve age-related – expectations in Year 6. All children benefited from smaller group sizes.	Given the specific needs of pupil premium children in the upper end of the school, additional teacher will still be used. Some children may benefit from a more bespoke programme.	

Action	Intended outcome	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? (Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate).	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
Pupils have access to: subsidised visits and residential opportunities, science workshops and a range of in/ out of school visits and workshops based around topics.	Provide additional opportunities to enrich the curriculum and enhance pupil experiences	proportunities to Premium children to thrive in a non-classroom environment, bringing out other strengths. Teamwork and life skills gained through range of adventurous activities. Positive self-image.	This policy will continue so all children have the same opportunities	Residential £720 School visits £100
		Financial support with wrap-around care helps to improve attendance and keep overall absences rates lower than national for pupil premium children. 5% for 18-19 compared to 7.3% nationally.	Continue due to positive impact on attendance	Breakfast Club £500 After School Clubs/activitie s £500
		Enriched experience of the curriculum. Allowing for different ways of learning outside of the classroom environment. Deeper understanding of topics gained.	Continue to subsidise pupil premium children but try to spread out timings of trips to support parents with more than one child in school.	Creative Week Activities £1000 Transport for educational visits £500

5. Planned expenditure

Academic year 2019 - 2020

i. Quality of teaching for all

Intended outcome	Action	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Cost	When will you review implementation?
Decrease the gap between pupil premium and non-pupil premium children in reading across the school. Decrease the gap between pupil premium and non-pupil premium children in writing across the school.	NQT – bespoke programme of CPD/support from mentor/access to county training RQT – continuous development of subject knowledge through CPD and subject leadership	Ensuring all children have access to high-quality teaching and a high-quality teacher is our key priority for pupil premium. Investing in training for early-career teachers will ensure they receive appropriate support and develop as professionals. RQT also completing Forest-School training to help develop the use of outdoor environments in school an provide additional ways to engage children of all interests and backgrounds.	Learning walk/work scrutinies/lesson observations/external validation	HT/Deputy Head/NQT Mentor	£2000	Spring Half-term 1

between pupil premium and non-pupil premium children in maths across the school. to TRG Mastery Workgroups.	Continuing to access the group after initial training helps to further upskill new members of staff and develop a whole-school cohesive approach that will benefit all pupils. A new maths lead is in place and this supports her development in leading improvement				
		Total budgeted	cost (i and ii)	£3000	

ii. Targeted support							
Intended outcome	Action	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Cost	When will you review implementation?	
Decrease the gap between pupil premium and non-pupil premium children in maths across the school.	1:1 Catch-Up Numeracy Programme in Year 3	Targeted programme that highlights specific weakness and provides twiceweekly sessions to address these.	Termly monitoring of progress data through maths lead.	Maths co- ordinator	£0	Summer Term	
Decrease the gap between pupil premium and non-pupil premium children in maths and reading across the school.	Intervention Teacher in Class 3 and 4 to support small group interventions.	Helped to reduce gaps last year. This year we will continue using the teacher but target smaller groups for specific reading comprehension skills. We will also carry out fluid same-day maths interventions which can be used with any children who have struggled to understand maths concepts taught in the morning.	Observations/work scrutinies/learning walk/termly review of data.	Maths co- ordinator English Co- ordinator	£6000	Termly	

Decrease the gap between pupil premium and non-pupil premium children in writing and reading across the school.	Teaching Assistant support for targeted interventions in Early Years/Class 1.	Identifying and addressing issues early, allows us to stop gaps from developing as children move through the school.	Observations/work scrutinies/learning walk/termly review of data.	Deputy Headteac her Subject leaders	£10240	Summer Term
			Total budgeted co	est (i and ii)	£19240	

iii Other approa	iii Other approaches							
Intended outcome	Action	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	Cost	When will you review implementa tion?		
Improve life experiences of Pupil Premium children. Support good attendance of pupil premium children.	Financial support for residential visit. (Full payment £200 x 4) Financial support for FSM and service premium pupils to attend Breakfast Club and Tea Club Financial support for FSM and service premium pupils to attend after school clubs and visits Supplement for Creative Week opportunities and activities	Increased confidence and collaborative learning. Allowing Pupil Premium children to thrive in a non-classroom environment, bringing out other strengths. Teamwork and life skills gained through range of adventurous activities. Positive self-image. Financial support with wrap-around care helps to improve attendance and keep overall absences rates lower than national for pupil premium children. Enriched experience of the curriculum. Allowing for different ways of learning outside of the classroom environment. Deeper understanding of topics gained.	Monthly monitoring of attendance Monitoring of attendance at clubs Feedback questionnaires from parents/pupils	HT	Residential £1000 School visits £500 Breakfast Club £500 After School £500 Clubs/activities £500 Experiences in school £1000	Termly		

Improve pupils'	Access to Educational	On average, SEL interventions have an	Discussion	HT	£1000 – including	Termly		
interaction with	Psychologist self-esteem	identifiable and valuable impact on attitudes	with children	Class teachers	training for school staff			
others and self-	and counselling sessions.	to learning and social relationships in	and staff.	Senco				
management of		school. They also have an average overall	Monitoring and					
emotions.		impact of four months' additional progress	review of					
Increase pupil		on attainment.	interventions.					
confidence in			Scrutiny of					
own skills.			data.					
			Monitoring of					
			well- being					
			after					
			counselling					
			sessions					
			Written					
			feedback					
Total budgeted cost (iii)								
				Total ov	erall cost (i and ii and iii)	£24240		